

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

113

CWP-10074-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: September 09, 2022

BAHADUR SINGH TAKHAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 18.01.2019 whereby the services of the petitioner had been terminated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the services of the petitioner had erroneously been terminated on the ground of not having the requisite degree, although he had done graduation from the Council of Basic & Technical Education, New Delhi. He also submits that the petitioner is alleged to have been absent from duty without leave but the petitioner had moved an application for premature retirement and he was under the impression that the application would be allowed and, therefore, he did not attend the office.

Heard.

The petitioner has been employed as a Peon. Thereafter he had been promoted as a Clerk. The essential requirement for the promotion as a Clerk was graduation. The petitioner had submitted a degree from Council of Basic & Technical Education, New Delhi. The respondents are stated to have enquired into the matter and it had come to light that this institute does not exist. There was no response from the address wherein the office of the institute was stated to be situated. The petitioner had also been absent without leave without any plausible explanation.

In view of the above, especially when the degree obtained by the petitioner was found to be fake, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 09, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No