

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-22352-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.01.2022

Pardeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. L.S.Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.07 dated 04.01.2021, under Sections 20, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act and Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh (Haryana).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. It is further stated that the petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle from which the recovery was effected.

Per contra, learned State counsel states that complainant-ASI Anil Kumar had received a secret information regarding Karamvir having

gone to Uttar Pradesh in a swift car, to purchase the narcotics; that after having laid a barricade, said Karamvir was apprehended in the said car along with many bags of suspected narcotics; that a Gazetted Officer was called on the spot and on search of the vehicle, recovery of 38 bags i.e. 85.320 kg. of Ganja was effected and that during interrogation, said Karamvir had disclosed that he had gone to bring the narcotics on the directions of the petitioner and co-accused Milan. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the registered owner of the swift car used in the transaction and that the said car was found to having a fake registration number as the vehicle with same registration number belonging to one Ajay Pratap son of Ram Pratap, resident of Riwasha, District Mahendergarh, was found parked in his house.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Co-accused Karamvir has disclosed the active participation of the petitioner in the crime in question. As pointed out by the State counsel, the car in question belongs to the petitioner from which a huge quantity of narcotics was recovered. Thus, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is must to unearth the entire drugs racket.

No case is made out for granting anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

January 25, 2022

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No