

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-17370-2019
Decided on : 10.05.2022

Anjana Rani and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate direction, directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondent Nos. 4 to 24.

It is the case of the petitioners that petitioners No. 1 and 2 are major inasmuch as, petitioner No. 1 was born on 30.10.1995 and petitioner No. 2 was born on 22.08.1998 and they both got married on 20.02.2017 according to Hindu Rites and Rituals and said marriage was opposed by the private respondents.

Learned State counsel has filed a detailed status report by way of an affidavit of Sudhir Taneja, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagadhri (Yamuna Nagar) on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 as per which the statement of the private respondents have been recorded and annexed as Anenxure R-1 and R-2 and in the said statements, they have jointly stated that the petitioners can go anywhere as per their wish and they did not wish

to interfere in the life of the present petitioners. It is further submitted that the statement of Sarjeeto Devi, mother of petitioner No. 2, has also been recorded in which she has stated that her daughter-in-law i.e. petitioner No. 1 and her son i.e. petitioner No. 2 are residing happily in the village and the statement of Mahinder Pal, who was the Sarpanch of the village at the relevant time, has also been recorded to the effect that the villagers and the residents of the colony have no objection regarding the petitioner living in the village nor there is any dispute regarding the same. It is therefore, submitted that in view of the said reply and the abovesaid statements, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, moreso, the status report by way of affidavit and the statements of the private respondents, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police in case there is any such threat still persisting or if it arises in future and in case any such representation is made, the respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the same with respect to the life and liberty of the petitioners and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

May 10th, 2022

Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No