

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22005-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:25.04.2022

Surinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Aman Verma, Advocate
for Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.267 dated 23.12.2020 under Sections 307/353/186 IPC, 1860 and Sections 25/27 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 13.12.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter is taken up through the medium of video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail contending that he has been falsely implicated in the present case with the allegation of having fired at the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that it is a case of false implication and the allegations are concocted whereby the present FIR was lodged as a counter blast to the complaint made by the petitioner in the same Police Station about an earlier occurrence which has taken place at 12.30 in the same Police Station.

Learned State counsel submits that a status report dated 06.10.2021, by way of an affidavit of PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), Ferozepur was filed,

*wherein detailed inquiry was conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), Ferozepur.
However, in the changed circumstances, it deems appropriate to grant interim protection at this stage to enable the petitioner to join the investigation and to appear before the Investigating Officer.
Considering the facts and circumstances in totality the petitioner is granted interim bail subject to his joining investigation within 10 days. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. He shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
Adjourned to 10.01.2022.
In the meantime, learned State counsel is also directed to seek instructions in the matter with regard to the proceedings of the case and outcome of the investigation.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Balwinder Lal states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.12.2021 is made absolute.

25.04.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No