

**244 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4657-2022

Date of Decision: 27th May, 2022

Nandini and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of their parents, hence, there is a threat perception.

3. On 17th May, 2022, the following order was passed:

“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 6 only at this stage.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 27.5.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

4. Learned State counsel submits that statements of the petitioners and respondents have been recorded. The same is taken on

record. It is stated in the statements that there is no threat perception.

5. In view of the status report filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

6. The petition is dismissed.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

27th May, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No