

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

**CRWP-4434-2022
Decided on : 01.08.2022**

Baldev Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

PRESENT: Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Babita, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 8
along with detenue – Simran Kaur.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Though, in pursuance to the orders made by this Court on 05.07.2022, for producing in Court the detenue – Simran Kaur, who was stated to be under the unlawful custody of respondents No.4 to 8, rather, no compliance thereof, has been made, but yet detenue - Simran Kaur, has made her *suo motu* appearance before this Court, however, Ms. Babita, Advocate, for respondents No.5 to 8, has filed her vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.5 to 8, in Court. The same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Detenue – Simran Kaur, in her signed testification(s), which becomes extracted hereinafter, has stated that she had entered into a wedlock with co-accused respondent No.4, and, that she intends to continue to reside with him, and, that she does not want to join the company of her father.

2. In consequence, the petition is disposed of, leaving liberty to Simran Kaur, to continue to reside at her matrimonial home, and, in the

company of respondent No.4.

3. The factum of the petitioner, at this stage, acquiring the age of discretion, and, also becoming capacitated to make a choice, with respect to hers staying, in the company of respondent No.4 or in the company of the petitioner, is supported, by a photocopy of her birth certificate, which is placed on record.

4. Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

August 01, 2022
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*