

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

128

CWP No.10101 of 2022.

Date of Decision: 12.05.2022.

Ram Bir (since deceased) through his LRs Bala and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Dinesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In respect of their grievance against the notice Annexure P-7 (shown to be undated but stated by learned counsel to have been pasted on the property in question as per learned counsel, in October, 2021), the petitioners are stated to have made a representation dated 16.03.2019 (copy Annexure P-5), to various authorities including respondent No.3 herein, i.e. the Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram.

Learned counsel submits that at this stage the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the said respondent to dispose of the representation dated 16.03.2019, after duly hearing the petitioners within a specified time frame.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual merits of what is contended either in the representation or even in this petition itself, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram, to take a decision on the aforesaid representation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order after hearing the petitioners and others concerned.

If any speaking order has already been passed as has not been brought to our notice, naturally the said speaking order would also be furnished to the petitioners.

As regards stay of demolition, the petitioners would be obviously at liberty to make the said prayer before the Administrator.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

12.05.2022

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No