

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.130

CRM-M-20328-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.05.2022

HARI RAM

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. U.K Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Criminal Procedure Code for quashing of summoning notice dated 14.04.2022 (Annexure P-8), vide which respondent No.3 has called the petitioner to join the investigation on the complaint filed by the respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already joined the investigation two times and that notices to the petitioner for joining the investigation are being repeatedly sent. It is not in dispute that complaint against the petitioner has already been filed and the matter has been investigated. The summoning of the witnesses/accused as well as other persons in connection with investigation cannot be a basis to feel aggrieved or harassed. The very fact that the petitioner is being summoned by the investigating agency through notices and in a manner known to law, such process of investigation cannot be stalled and the investigating agency

cannot be restrained from proceeding with the investigation in the manner as it so deals. It must not be lost sight of the fact that during the course of investigation different evidence may come to the notice of the investigating agency for which the persons who have earlier been associated may be required to be summoned again. Apparently, the instant petition is misconceived and is an abuse to the process of law to cast undue pressure on the investigating agency.

The same is accordingly, dismissed.

12.05.2022
Amandeep

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No