

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

277

CRM-M-4468-2022

Date of Decision: 17.05.2022

Baljinder Kaur @ Manjit Kaur @ Meeta

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vidit Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

After arguing for considerable time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the petitioner is permitted to attend the wedding in custody.

Learned counsel for the State submits that there is no objection to the same, subject to an undertaking by the petitioner not to abscond and not to create any scene.

Given above, Let the petitioner attend the marriage and the concerned Superintendent of Jail to ensure the presence of the petitioner at the wedding venue on the date fixed i.e. 21.05.2022, well on time. However since it is an occasion of the wedding, as such, police officials would be in civil clothes. The petitioner will not stay at night and return in the evening after the marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner voluntarily submits that to & fro charges will be paid to the concerned Superintendent of Jail in advance for hiring the taxi.

Petition is **allowed** to the extent mentioned above. It is made clear that the marriage is not to be postponed on her account and this factor shall be considered in all future bail applications for furlough/parols.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for

furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

17.05.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No