

COCp-965-2022

Date of Decision :10.10.2022

Manoj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

Sudesh, Tehsildar and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ishan Khetarpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel states that the petitioners have invoked the jurisdiction of the learned Executing Court for execution of judgment and decree Annexure P/3 dated 24.08.2009, in Civil Appeal No.23 of 2009, in case titled as Manoj Kumar and others vs. Ram Singh and others and that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and would be satisfied if the instant petition is disposed of by directing the learned Executing Court to conclude the execution proceedings pending before it in a time bound manner.

2. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners to pursue the execution proceedings pending before the learned Executing Court, Kaithal. Needless to mention, the learned Executing Court is requested to take steps to conclude the execution proceedings in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.10.2022

rajesh