

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19795-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 11, 2022

Suresh Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr.J.P.Dhull, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for  
Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate  
for the complainant.

.....

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)**

Instant 2<sup>nd</sup> petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.156, dated 19.03.2022, under Sections 354, 354-A, 506, 509 IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, (Amendment 2015) (for brevity, 'the SC&ST Act'), registered at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra.

Counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition on the ground that the same is not maintainable. It is stated that as per Section 14 A of the SC&ST Act, an appeal is appropriate remedy against the order of rejection of bail. In support of his contention, he has placed on record a

Court in CRM-M-19086-2022.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of this petition with liberty to avail the remedy as available to the petitioner under Section 14 A of the SC&ST Act.

Allowed as prayed for.

Present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. However, the arrest of the petitioner would remain stayed for a period of one week from today enabling him to avail the appropriate legal remedy.

It is clarified that the interim protection shall vacate automatically after expiry of period of one week.

May 11, 2022

meenuss

( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No