

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19799-2022(O&M)

Date of decision : 21.09.2022

Charanjit Singh @ Charapreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Manoj Singla, Advocate for
Mr.Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.0197 dated 23.09.2021 registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala.

On 10.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that in the present case, compromise dated 04.03.2022 (Annexure P-2) has been entered into between the petitioner, the other co-accused and the complainant and as per the said compromise, the complainant has submitted that he has no objection in case the present FIR against the petitioner and other co-accused is quashed . It is further submitted that a perusal of the FIR would show that it was a case of sudden fight and although, the petitioner has been alleged to have given a fire shot injury but it is submitted that only one injury had been allegedly inflicted, which would show that the petitioner, prima facie, had no intention to kill the complainant. It is further argued that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the

investigation.

Notice of motion for 20.07.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

10.05.2022

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE”**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 10.05.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 10.05.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No