

**261 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-17155-2019
Date of Decision: 14th July, 2022**

Ruldu Khan and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to respondent No.2 and 3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana and SHO, Police Station Malaud, Khanna, District Ludhiana to protect life liberty of the petitioners from the hands of the private respondents (arrayed in the petition). Further direction was sought against respondents No.4 and 5 i.e. ASI-Tarsem Sharma and Constable Jagpreet Singh, not to harass and humiliate the petitioners by calling them to the police station again and again.

2. As per the pleading, petitioner No.1 had got registered an FIR No.66, dated 12th August, 2018, under Sections 325, 323, 341 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, 1860, at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur. The petitioners were being pressurized by respondents No.4 and 5 to compromise the matter.

4. State had filed reply dated 22nd August, 2019.

5. In the reply, it was pleaded that the petitioners were summoned to the police station by issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., as an application was pending against them and there was

official respondents were denied.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners has no further instructions to contradict the pleadings in the reply.
7. In view of the above, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.
8. Needless to say that in case of surviving grievance, petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

14th July, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No