

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CRM-M-17532-2020

Date of Decision: October 17, 2022

DAVINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Gurjant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to initiate appropriate legal action against the private respondents No.5 to 14 and other unknown persons who have criminally intimidated the petitioner.

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 23.07.2020. Pursuant to which reply dated 17.08.2020 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has been filed. Learned State counsel refers to Para No.5 of the reply which reads thus:-

“That the contents of para no.5 of the petition wrong and denied. It is submitted that on 06.06.2020, the private respondents and others were gathered to join in the enquiry conducted by the SDO (Panchayat) against the petitioner. No statements were given by respondents except to S.D.O., J.E.s, Mukesh Kumar Gram Sachiv Nissing. It is also wrong to allege that respondent No.5 Balwinder Singh came with the pistol of his son and threatened to kill the petitioner and Government officials. It is fact that due to creating noise

by both the parties, the government officials returned from the spot without conducting enquiry against the petitioner. It is also wrong to allege that respondents No.5 to 14 and their goons have also dismantled the CCTV Cameras. No evidence came in the enquiry regarding not following the Government guidelines of COVID-19. Moreover, the allegations leveled by the petitioner in his complaints were found untrue and accordingly same was filed by the deponent on 23.06.2020. Moreover, detailed reply has already been given in the preliminary submissions of the reply and same are reiterated.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to controvert the aforesaid fact.

Accordingly, no further order is required to be passed in the matter and the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

October 17, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No