

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19816-2022

Date of decision : 20.07.2022

Visakha Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Navreen Kaur, Advocate for
Mr.Hakam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.122 dated 09.04.2022 registered under Sections 420, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

On 10.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that in the present case, an amount has been paid into the account of accused No.1 i.e. Gurveen Kaur and has further submitted that in order to show his bona fide without admitting her liability, the petitioner is ready to pay an amount of Rs.1.5 lacs to the complainant.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. K.S.

Brar, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 20.07.2022.

The petitioner is directed to get demand draft amounting to Rs.1.5 lac prepared in the name of the complainant on the next date of hearing.

It is made clear that in case, demand draft amounting to Rs.1.5 lac prepared in the name of the complainant is not produced on record on the next date of hearing, then the interim order granted would be liable to be vacated.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-19453-2022.

Learned counsel for the State and complainant are granted liberty to produce on record all the relevant documents in the case.

10.05.2022”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the petitioner has brought the original demand draft amounting to Rs.1.5 lacs prepared in the name of the complainant and handed over the same to learned counsel for the complainant in the Court. Learned counsel for the counsel for the complainant has also acknowledged the said fact that the said demand draft has been handed over to him and he has further handed over the same to the complainant Jaskirat Singh, whom he recognised.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdit Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation on 18.05.2022 and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 10.05.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 10.05.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of

of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 20, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No