

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 13th May, 2022

Petitioners

Versus

Respondents

Present: Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents No. 4 and 5 arrayed in the petition.
2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.
3. On 9th May, 2022 following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 13.5.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they

may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

4. Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded and it is stated therein that there is no threat perception.

5. In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

6. The petition is disposed of as infructuous

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

13th May, 2022
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No