

104.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9750-2022

Date of Decision: 09.05.2022

SANDEEP KUMAR AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rishi Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate,
for the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Karnal.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.5 to renew the licence of the petitioners.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are running shops of profession of Royal/Classic Thai SPA (SPA Beauty Saloon and Beauty Culture and Health Care at Sector 12, Karnal and their licences have expired. They approached respondent No.5-Municipal Corporation, Karnal by way of representations dated 15.02.2022 (Annexures P-3 & P-4), but no action to renew their licences has been taken so far. Counsel would submit that the petitioners would be satisfied in case

their representations dated 15.02.2022 (Annexures P-3 & P-4) are decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of State and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, on behalf of Municipal Corporation, Karnal, and submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representations of the petitioners.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representations dated 15.02.2022 (Annexures P-3 & P-4) of the petitioners, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

09.05.2022

sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No