

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CWP-10204-2019

Date of Decision : 07.04.2022

Manjit Kaur Ahuja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that in the present case, the prayer of the petitioner is that the claim admissible to the petitioner have been declined by the respondents vide impugned order dated 15.06.2018 (Annexure P-9). Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents, the benefits have been stated to be released but he has not been able to contact his client to verify the said facts hence, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation, in case any of the grievance of the petitioner still subsists.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner raising any grievance, the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

07.04.2022
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>