

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

1. CR-2557-2019
Date of decision: 17.10.2022

MADHUMITA ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

2. CR-2558-2019

VEENA RANI ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

3. CR-2561-2019

ROHIT KUMAR & ORS. ..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

4. CR-2563-2019

ROHIT KUMAR ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

5. CR-2564-2019

PARDEEP KUMAR ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

6. CR-2569-2019

RAJ KUMAR (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS. ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sangram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Vardhan, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Six identical Civil Revision Petitions have come up for hearing. The prayer is to direct the Executing Court to conclude the execution proceedings pending before it. The dispute is with regard to the payment of the compensation for involuntary acquisition of the land. It has been brought to the notice of the Court that in **Rattan Lal Vs. State of Haryana, Civil Revision No.3540-2018, decided on 20.09.2022**, the following order was passed:-

“1. In the present revision petition, a prayer has been made to direct the Executing Court to conclude the execution petition. In substance, the petitioner prays for compensation for the involuntary acquisition of the land. A large number of such execution petitions are pending in the Courts. A Division Bench of this Court in Pradeep Kumar v. State of Haryana and Others (Civil Writ Petition No. 20384 of 2021, decided on 14.02.2022), has approved a policy adopted by the State for regulating the payment in the land acquisition cases. The matter is also pending before the Supreme Court.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find it appropriate to issue any direction. However, the petitioner, if so advised, may file an application before the Executing Court in this regard.

3. With the observations made above, the present revision petition is disposed of.”

In view of the aforesaid, the revision petitions are disposed of in the same terms.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 17th, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*