

**124+267 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-26664-2022 in/and
CRM-M-34837-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.08.2022**

**MANAVDEEP SINGH UPPAL ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR .. RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Shrama, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-26664-2022

This is an application for placing on record reply on behalf of
respondent No.2.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.
Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record, subject to all just
exceptions.

Registry is directed to annex the same at appropriate place.

Application is disposed of.

CRM-M-34837-2018 (O&M)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent
No.2 had resiled from the compromise and she has raised categorical plea that
compromise has not been adhered to by the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner
seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

01.08.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No