

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CR-3832 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Order: 01.06.2022**

Mansi Sofat

..Petitioner

Versus

Dinesh Kumar Sofat

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Case called twice.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner was neither present on 27.02.2020, nor today. This Court has gone through the paper book.

The petitioner's application under Section 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, has been dismissed by the Additional District Judge, Ludhiana. She complains that despite an undertaking, she has not been provided with the accommodation, as directed. Subsequently, on 04.09.2014, the parties entered into a settlement undertaking to live under one roof in a peaceful manner but the respondent (husband) has violated the aforesaid undertaking. The Court after granting an opportunity to the parties to lead evidence has found that the petitioner has failed to make out a case for punishing the respondent (husband).

In the considered opinion of the Court, the application, as such, was not maintainable before the Additional District Judge. Moreover, the dispute between the parties is on account of the marital discord. In each and every case, the Court is not expected to initiate proceedings for having committed the Contempt of Court.

In view thereof, no further order is required to be passed.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

June 01, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No