

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19612-2022

Date of decision : 09.05.2022

Murti Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of any appropriate order or direction to consider representation dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) with respect to the factum of murder of the husband of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a widowed lady and has submitted a representation dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-1), in which, she has detailed allegations to the effect that her husband has been murdered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.3 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

09.05.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No