

COCP-935-2022

Date of Decision :08.12.2022

Gaurav Pathak and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Deepak Ghangas

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Arif Qureshi, Advocate and
Mr. Riti Pathak, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1, dated 22.02.2022, in CWP-3345-2022.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-3345-2022, was disposed of by directing the Estate Officer, HSVP, Kuruksehtra to take a final decision on legal notice dated 20.01.2022, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
3. Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the respondent states that needful has been done in terms of order Annexure P/1, by passing speaking order, therefore, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondent.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of needful having been done, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to move a representation to the respondent seeking correction of memo number and address, mentioned in the order.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.12.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No