

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10098-2019
Date of Decision: 20.04.2022

Suresh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG Haryana

Mr. R.K. Mehta, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 to 13

Mr. Surajmal Kundu, Advocate
for respondents No. 14 to 16

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

None had put in appearance for the petitioner on the earlier date of hearing and the same is the situation today and, thus, the statement made on the previous date that the writ petition had been rendered infructuous has not been rebutted.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

20.04.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No