

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-20067-2022**

**Date of Decision: 21.7.2022**

Rajinder Kumar @ Rajat

**....Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

State of Punjab

**....Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Dixit Raj Kapoor, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J.**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.183 dated 21.7.2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 323, 324, 325, 326, 427, 452, 506, 201, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Kotwali Nabha, District Patiala.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that as per allegations, injury which was caused by the present petitioner with iron rod on the right arm of the injured was found to be grievous in nature which is covered under Section 325 IPC and that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 8 months and is having no criminal history and that the complainant/injured has already been examined during the trial. So, prayer is made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Present petition is opposed by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner is facing trial under Section 307 IPC along with his co-

accused. However, State counsel admitted the fact that the complainant has already been examined in the trial Court and that the grievous injury caused with blunt weapon has been attributed to the present petitioner.

I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

As per custody certificate furnished by the State counsel, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 8 months and is not involved in any other criminal case. As per allegations, grievous injury attributed to the petitioner was caused by blunt weapon. The complainant has already been examined. So, there is no apprehension that if released on bail, the petitioner will pressurize and won over the complainant.

It will take time for conclusion of trial. Thus, no useful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any further period.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**( KARAMJIT SINGH )**  
**JUDGE**

**July 21, 2022**

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No