

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18767-2022

Date of Decision: 25.08.2022

Ramesh Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had rendered daily wage service from March, 1992 onwards till 30.09.2003 which service has not been taken into account as qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits, hence, the action of the respondent in not granting the benefit of the daily wage service is not only contrary to the rules governing the service but also the settled principal of law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has approached this Court straight-away without raising the grievance with the Department concerned and in case the petitioner raises any such grievance through a representation, the same will be considered in

accordance with service rules and the settled principal of law, and an appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

25.08.2022
ps-I

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No