

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

**Civil Writ Petition No.9863 of 2019
Date of Decision : October 28, 2022**

Balbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue (Appeals), Punjab & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Gurbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Memo of appearance on behalf of the petitioner has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record. A request for adjournment has been made.

As was noticed on the previous date of hearing, the matter has been pending since 11.04.2019. Adjournments have been granted at request of learned counsel for the petitioner. In this view of the matter, it was made clear that the matter would be heard finally today and no further adjournment would be granted.

Thus, the request for adjournment cannot be entertained.

The matter pertains to partition proceedings. The fifth respondent had challenged the same by way of revision petition and the matter had been remanded by the Financial Commissioner to the Assistant Collector for a fresh decision. The impugned order is dated 30.11.2017 and thus, a period of five years has elapsed since the passing of the said order. Probably, post remand, the partition proceedings may have been concluded.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

October 28, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No