

CRM-M-19086-2022

106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19086 of 2022
Date of Decision:06.05.2022

Jagmohan ManchandaPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Gambhir, Advocate for the complainant.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
406	15.04.2022	Shahabad, District Kurukshetra	153A, 505(2) of IPC and 3(u)& (v) of SC/ST Act

Criminal Case no. before trial Court	Bail Application No.627 of 2022 CNR No.HRKU01-003123-2022 Date of decision: 02.05.2022
--	--

The petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 438 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioner had filed a bail application before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra which was dismissed on 02.05.2022.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the petition on the ground that the same is not maintainable.

4. As per Section 14 A of the SC/ST Act, an appeal is appropriate remedy against the order of rejection of bail. There is no reason to bypass the statutory remedy. Thus, present petition is not maintainable.

5. Confronted with this learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the petition with the liberty to file fresh one and also seek interim protection till the filing of such petition.

6. The prayer is innocuous.

7. Given above, the present petition is **disposed of** as withdrawn with the liberty to file an appeal under Section 14A of SC/ST Act.

8. There is stay of arrest of the petitioner for one week to file the

appropriate legal remedy.

9. It is clarified that the interim protection shall vacate automatically after the expiry of period of one week.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.05.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	No.