

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-19277-2022
Decided on :19.07.2022

Jaswant Kaur @ Member Panchayat

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 79 dated 26.06.2021 registered under Sections 379, 353, 186, 341 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.

On 07.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that there are no specific allegations against the petitioner and co-accused of the petitioner namely Kuldeep Kaur and Bhinder Singh @ Bhupinder Singh, against whom similar allegations have been levelled, have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 2.3.2022, passed in CRM-M- 29196 of 2021 and connected matters. It is further submitted that even the key of the motor cycle was alleged to have been taken by Bhinder Singh @ Bhupinder Singh and that the alleged bag of documents which was stolen, has

already been recovered.

Notice of motion for 19.7.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Buta Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 07.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 07.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

19.07.2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No