

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4452-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:11.05.2022

Kanwaljeet Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, who is facing harassment and is being called by official respondent No.4 at the instance of private respondents. Further prayer has been made to conduct fair and impartial investigation in the complaint moved by private respondent No.7 against the petitioner and for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the representations dated 03.05.2022 (Annexures P-8 and P-9) submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel has argued that the private respondents No.6 and 7 had borrowed some money from the petitioner in the year 2018 and out of it, some amount was returned and two cheques for a sum of Rs.1 lac each were issued by them in December, 2021, but the

cheque issued by respondent No.6 got dishonoured, therefore, the petitioner preferred separate civil suits for recovery against private respondents. Learned counsel has drawn the attention of the Court to the recovery suits filed in April, 2020 and submitted that after filing of these suits, respondent No.7 has moved a false complaint against petitioner before the police, whereupon respondent No.4 had summoned the petitioner, who got recorded his statement, but despite that the petitioner is being harassed. According to learned counsel, in this regard, he has submitted a representation dated 03.05.2022 (Annexure P-8) to Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh, but till date no action has been taken upon it, therefore, he prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that till date, no cognizance has been taken by police upon a complaint moved by the private respondent No.7.

After hearing the learned counsel and considering the above background, this Court finds that the complaint moved by private respondent to the police is being looked into, and in the said proceedings admittedly statement of petitioner has already been recorded and respondent No.4 is yet to examine the truthfulness of the respective versions of the parties. The complaint moved by the private respondents is neither on record nor the learned counsel is aware of the contents of the same, therefore, it will not be appropriate for this Court to accept the stand of the petitioner that he is being harassed at the

been given recently on 03.05.2022, therefore, at this stage, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Resultantly, the petition fails and is dismissed.

11.05.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No