

143

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9752-2022

Date of Decision: 09.05.2022

Bimla

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jarnail S.Saneta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, as per instructions from the petitioner, respondent No.3/widow of Late Rajeev Kumar has already re-married, and therefore, has become ineligible for the grant of family pension in respect of the services rendered by her deceased husband, namely Sh. Rajeev Kumar, who was the son of petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as respondent No.3 has become ineligible for the grant of family pension, therefore, the said family pension be now released in the name of petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the same grievance has also been raised by the petitioner before respondent No.2 by serving representation dated 10.09.2021 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation dated 10.09.2021, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Indresh Goel, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and raises no objection, in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 10.09.2021 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the representation dated 10.09.2021 (Annexure P-10), this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 10.09.2021 (Annexure P-10), filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

09.05.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

2. Whether reportable : No