

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 125

**Civil Writ Petition No.10264 of 2022
Date of Decision : May 18, 2022**

Usha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved because no action is being taken upon the representation filed by her for taking appropriate action against the private respondents for interfering with the developmental works being carried out in the village.

The private respondents are residents of the village and thus, the official respondents cannot be expected to take any action against them. In case public order is being interfered with, the petitioner has remedies available in law.

The writ petition is totally misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

May 18, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No