

[148] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-894-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.05.2022

Pooja ...Petitioner

Versus

Rajeev Arora and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Pratibha Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.11.2021, in CWP-23854-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 25.11.2021, reveals that CWP-23854-2021, was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 01.09.2021, and also by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

3. Learned Stated counsel on instructions from respondent No.2 states that the matter is under process and decision would be taken on representation dated 01.09.2021 submitted by the petitioner within one week from today and decision taken thereon would be dispatched to the petitioner within three days thereafter.

4. In view of the assurance held out by respondent No.2

through learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner states that she does not press the instant petition but respondent No.2 be directed to adhere to the assurance held out by him through the learned State counsel.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing respondent No.2 to adhere to the assurance held out by her through the learned State counsel to decide the representation submitted by the petitioner within one week from today and dispatch the decision taken thereon to the petitioner by registered post within three days thereafter. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the instant petition in case the needful is not done as per assurance held out by respondent No.2.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.05.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>