

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP-4278-2022

Date of decision: 06.05.2022

POOJA SINGHAL AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Amit Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus to direct the respondents No. 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of respondent No.4 to 9 and also for seeking to restraint the said respondents from interfering in the married life of the petitioners for having performed marriage of their own will.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the petitioners belong to two different communities and they are both major and have solemnized marriage on 20.12.2021 as

per Hindu rites and rituals at Arya Samaj Vivah Mandir, Ghaziabad. Reliance is placed on a marriage certificate (Anneuxre P-3) annexed with the petition. It is claimed that the petitioner No.2 is working as Choreographer and earning Rs. 25,000/- per month whereas petitioner No.1 is pursuing B.Tech and they can maintain their family. He submits that the said marriage is not acceptable to the private respondents and they apprehend threat to their life.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G. Haryana appears and accepts notice and an advance copy of the petition has already been handed over.

Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4 along with respondent No.4 Pawan Kumar and his wife Smt. Saroj. The said respondents state that they do not intend to extend any threat to the petitioners for having solemnized the marriage of their own will, even though the said marriage may not have been performed with their consent.

In view of the aforesaid statement made on behalf of respondent No. 4, no further direction is required to be called for at this stage.

The present petition is dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MAY 06, 2022
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No