

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-9508-2022

Date of Decision: 06.05.2022

KHUSHBOO SETHI

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for quashing of order dated 25.11.2021, Annexure P-19, whereby petitioner has not been found eligible for readjustment as an eligible Extension Lecturer in terms of the applicable policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was appointed as an Extension Lecturer on 14.09.2015 and she delivered 329 lectures in the subject of Zoology during the Session 2015-2016. Reference in this regard is made to certificate dated 20.05.2016 (Annexure P-2). Services of petitioner, it is submitted, were discontinued even though work was still available. Petitioner filed CWP-28945-2019, which was disposed of on 04.10.2019 in terms of decision in CWP-19940-2019 and respondents were directed to decide representation of petitioner.

Petitioner's representation was admittedly decided by passing a speaking order dated 06.11.2019 (Annexure P-12). It is observed therein

that petitioner does not fulfill the criteria laid down as per Policy/Guidelines dated 16.09.2019 and that petitioner cannot be considered as an eligible Extension Lecturer. Admittedly, this order has not been challenged by petitioner who however, seeks to challenge subsequent order/communication dated 01.12.2021, Annexure P-21, informing her that as she has not completed one semester as an eligible Extension Lecturer in terms of the policy in currency, therefore, she cannot be treated as an eligible Displaced Extension Lecturer.

It is not in dispute that as on date Policy/Guidelines dated 4th March, 2020, holds good. As per Clause 21 of the Policy/Guidelines dated 04.03.2020, petitioner is not an eligible Displaced Extension Lecturer.

In the given facts and circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any ground whatsoever for interference in this writ petition. It is to be reiterated that order dated 06.11.2019 has not been challenged by petitioner neither policy dated 04.03.2020 is subject matter of challenge in this petition.

Therefore, finding no merit in this writ petition, same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

06.05.2022

Sunil

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No