

CRM-M-16561-2019
Date of Decision: 04.04.2022

NITIN PAHUJA ... PETITIONER
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 66 dated 01.09.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana, Punjab.

At the earlier instance, the petitioner was granted interim protection and was directed to join the investigation. However, the petitioner never joined the investigation. Consequently, on 07.12.2021 interim protection granted to him was vacated.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly stated that he is not in contact with the petitioner. He even contacted the father of the petitioner but the whereabouts of the petitioner could not be ascertained.

The present FIR has been registered on account of matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the complainant. Keeping in view the fact that despite repeated opportunities and interim protection, the petitioner has not joined the investigation, he becomes disentitled to the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

04.04.2022
Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No