

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.33782 of 2018
Date of Decision: 29.08.2022**

Gurbhagh Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as regards the relief sought by the petitioner qua the protection of his life and liberty, he (petitioner) would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and so far as the remaining relief as prayed for in the instant petition is concerned, the petitioner would be availing the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for this purpose and he prays that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the fresh representation of the petitioner within some specific time frame and the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-discussed

submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case the petitioner moves any fresh representation before him/her restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he/she shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he/she would take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of 10 (ten) days.

August 29, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*