

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.103

**Civil Writ Petition No.9450 of 2022
Date of Decision : May 06, 2022**

Jatinder Kumar Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner applied for re-issuance of a passport wherein an objection has been raised regarding the place of birth mentioned in the application. The said objection is based on the fact that in the first passport issued to the petitioner place of birth was mentioned as Bahadurpur whereas in the second passport, the same was mentioned as Barnala. Now, the petitioner has again mentioned Bahadurpur as the place of birth.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that Gram Panchayat of Village Bahadurpur has issued a certificate dated 25.12.2021 certifying that the petitioner was born at Bahadurpur, however, the said certificate has not been taken into consideration. Thus, a direction be issued to the respondents to take the same into consideration and decide the application for re-issuance of a passport.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the pending application for re-issuance of a passport after taking into consideration certificate dated 25.12.2021 (Annexure P-6) within 08 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Yes/No