

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

CRM-M-17123-2020

Date of decision: 26.08.2022

Manpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.95 dated 17.10.2019 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

On 17.01.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.95 dated 17.10.2019 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned counsel submits that it was on account of a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and his wife that a false and fabricated case has been planted upon him. He submits that the petitioner undertakes to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Adjourned to 25.05.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and

conditions as envisaged under Section 438
(2) Cr.PC..”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

It is the further the admitted position that the petitioner and the complainant have amicably resolved all their disputes.

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order; the statement made on behalf of the State that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and that the matter between the petitioner and the complainant has been compromised, the interim order of this Court dated 17.01.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

August 26, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No