

288

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9515-2022

Date of Decision: 11.05.2022

Suresh Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surender Singh Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, i.e. on 06.05.2022, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had approached this Court by filing CWP No.7210 of 2022 by raising certain grievance with regard to the conduct of the election, which writ petition was disposed of by this Court with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice submitted by the petitioner wherein the said grievance was raised and the respondents were given eight weeks time to pass the appropriate order whereas, now without deciding the legal notice, the respondents, vide Annexure P-9, have issued the election programme so as to over reach the order passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is incumbent upon the respondents to first decide the legal notice and thereafter hold the elections.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks a short adjournment to inform this Court as to why, the election programme was fixed without deciding the legal notice first.

List on 11.05.2022.

In case, no reply is received, appropriate order on the interim prayer of the petitioner will be passed.”

Today, learned State counsel submits that respondent No.4 has re-considered the matter and has postponed the election of the concerned Zone, till further orders and the same will only be held after deciding the representation filed by the petitioner, as directed by this Court, while passing the judgment in **CWP-7210-2022**, titled as “**Suresh Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others**”, decided on 06.04.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned State counsel, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

11.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No