

136

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9527 of 2022
Date of Decision: 06.05.2022

Vinod Kumar Bansal Contractor

-Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Chirag Girdhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks settlement of his claim in respect of balance payment for which various representations including latest representation dated 29.03.2022 have already been filed before respondent No.6.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representation including legal notice is directed to be decided by respondent No.6 by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a stipulated period.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents at this stage.

I deem it appropriate to direct the respondent No.6 to consider the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

If the petitioner is found entitled for the amount in question, then the needful be done within a further period of one month.

Disposed of.

06.05.2022

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No