

**CRM-M-20884-2021**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20884-2021**

**Date of decision:06.01.2022**

Davinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kuljit Kaur, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.67 dated 02.06.2020, registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana (Rural), under Sections 307 and 120-B IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the above-noted FIR was registered against some unknown persons and the spontaneous version of the complainant does not disclose the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence; that on the basis of statement of injured-Pavittar Singh, the petitioner was indicted in the present case; that the allegation of firing a gun-shot is against co-accused Harman Singh @ Bhau, who is in

**CRM-M-20884-2021**

custody; that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.07.2020, and that there is no other case registered or pending against him. On this premise, the learned counsel prays that the petitioner be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, while opposing the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner for the grant of bail, submits that the petitioner had actively participated in the occurrence and that the motor-cycle allegedly used in the occurrence had been recovered from the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The challan stands presented. The recovery of motor-cycle allegedly used in the occurrence stands effected from the petitioner. He has been in custody since 25.07.2020. Trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**06.01.2022**

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No