

134
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4213-2022
Date of Decision: 06.05.2022

Rajbir Kaur and others

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Consitution of India with a prayer for seeking directions to the official respondents for the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that respondent No.4 is the husband of petitioner No.1 with whom the petitioner No.1 has matrimonial dispute. The petitioner No.1 had filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C seeking maintenance and maintenance orders were also passed but almost more than 7 years have elapsed and the respondent No.4 had neither appeared before the Court nor had paid any maintenance. He further submitted that now respondent No.4 by taking the aid of the respondent No.5 who is a serving SHO is pressurizing the petitioner No.1 to withdraw the petition for seeking maintenance and with the aid of the police, the said activity has been done. He further submitted that a representation (Annexure P-5) has been given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District

Tarn Taran and SHO, P.S. Valtoha, District Tarn Taran but no action has been taken till date.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 5 only.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents and states that he has no objection in the case the representation of the petitioner is considered by the concerned authorities in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The only prayer raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioner No.1 is a lady and is being pressurized by her husband respondent No.4 at the instance of a serving police officer to withdraw the petition for maintenance under Section 125 Cr.P.C.

This Court is of the view that the present petition can be disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran as the learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that despite the representation given to the SSP, District Tarn Taran no action has been taken.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- SSP, Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran to consider the representation (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioners and in case it is so required, then to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

The needful be done within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of the order.

06.05.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No