

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**204**

**CRM-M-19083-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 16.09.2022**

Jatinder @ Rimpi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate, for  
Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab,  
assisted by ASI Pritam Singh.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for the complainant.

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.27 dated 27.03.2022 at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar, under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC, whereas Section 308 IPC is added later on.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 06.05.2022, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case two different persons had initially submitted a complaint to the police i.e. complaint made by Satpal Singh (Annexure P-1) and complaint made by Gagandeep Singh (Annexure P-2) wherein it is Baljeet Singh who is stated to be the assailant who is alleged to have inflicted an injury to Dev Raj with the help of a ‘kirch’. It has further been submitted that however, when the FIR came to be lodged, the same was lodged by way of making improvements wherein three persons including the petitioner have been nominated as accused.

It has been submitted that from a perusal of Annexures P-1, P-2 as well as FIR (Annexure P-3), it would be evident that it is infact Baljeet Singh who is the accused who had inflicted injury to the complainant and that the other two persons have been falsely implicated.

Notice of motion for 16.9.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

To be taken up along with CRM-M16455-2022.”

3. Learned counsel representing the complainant has vehemently opposed the petition, but the learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to the petitioner joining investigation, he has been found innocent and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the police during investigation found the petitioner innocent, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 06.05.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

16.09.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No