

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

233/2

CWP-8886-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 18.07.2022

BHAGAT RAM

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for UHBVNL.

Mr. Viresh Dahiya, Advocate for

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the show cause notice whereby he was directed to show cause as to why his services be not terminated. He had also sought a direction to complete the enquiry proceedings in a time bound manner and sought the release of his salary w.e.f. September 2019.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in pursuance to the show cause notice, the services of the petitioner had been terminated on 25.08.2020 which has been challenged by the petitioner by preferring CWP No.13465 of 2020 which is pending adjudication.

Heard.

The services of the petitioner had been terminated which has been challenged by him in a petition bearing CWP No.13465 of 2020. In that petition the petitioner has also claimed his salary for the afore-noted period prior to his termination. The legality of the petitioner's termination and the

payment of salary for the period prior to termination would be examined and decided in CWP No.13465 of 2020. This petition has primarily challenged the show cause notice prior to the termination and has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

18.07.2022
SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No