

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-19179-2022

Date of Decision: 12.05.2022

Sanjeev

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Present second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved by petitioner-**Sanjeev** for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.258 dated 04.08.2021 under Sections 279, 304-A and 427 IPC (Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Hansi Sadar, Police District Hansi (Hisar).

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that allegations levelled against the petitioner are altogether false and frivolous and there is no iota of truth therein. He further submits that allegedly petitioner was driving offending vehicle (*Tralla*) bearing registration No.HR45-B-7631 having affixed fake number plate of HR67-C-3147 on the said vehicle. He further submits that co-accused Mahabir Singh, registered

owner of above said offending vehicle, has already been extended concession of regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 28.03.2022 passed in CRM-M-52927-2021. He further submits that petitioner is languishing in custody since 05.08.2021 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose as after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (*Challan*) has already been presented in Court on 21.10.2021 and trial has commenced. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the contents of petition.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2021; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that *Challan* has already been presented in Court and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Sanjeev** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Hansi, District Hisar, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

12.05.2022

Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No