

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-33187-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.11.2022

VIKRAM SINGH MALIK

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondent Nos.1 to 3 to conclude the investigation and file final report in case FIR No.302 dated 04.05.2017 under Sections 506 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 06.08.2018, pursuant thereto reply dated 04.12.2018 by way of affidavit of Vijender Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samalkha, District Panipat has been filed.

Learned State counsel makes a reference to paras 7 and 8 which read thus:-

“7. That the investigation of the case was verified by Inspector Jitender Singh, SHO, Police Station, Samalkha and come to the conclusion that the private respondents No.4 & 5 have been found to be named falsely due revenge of house. They were found innocent and the threats have been extended by unknown person. After a long time of investigation no clue was found about the culprits nor the same ever been provided by the petitioner and thus an untrace report was prepared in this

case and the information was sent to the petitioner that the further investigation of the case will be carried out on finding strict clue. The true translated copy of the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. is enclosed with the reply for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court as Annexure R-1.

8. That the police has conducted the proper, fair, thorough and impartial investigation in the case and no one including the petitioner has not produced any strict proof against anyone. The petitioner has filed the present petition on false, baseless, vexatious and imaginary facts and thus he is not entitled for any kind of relief from the Hon'ble Court and the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

In furtherance thereto, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Manoj Kumar has apprised that cancellation report has since been presented before the Court on 09.11.2021. He submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present case.

Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of alternate remedy, available to him, in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 18, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>