

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

274

CRM-M-19419-2022

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Gopal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to the medical report wherein lacerated wound was noticed on the head. He further submits that the weapon attributed to such injury was sword which prima facie makes out a case under Section 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the charges have been framed but certainly Section 307 IPC is not one of such offence.

Given above, the petitioner has to challenge the judicial order of framing of charges.

At this stage, Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a revision petition was filed against the framing of charges. In the interregnum vide order dated 22.02.2022 (P-5), learned Sessions Judge dismissed the said petition.

Given above, let the petitioner challenge the judicial order vide which the petition against the framing of charges was dismissed by the Sessions Court.

Petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to challenge the order of rejection by the learned Sessions Judge.

All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

26.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No