

115+271 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1106-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision : 02.08.2022

Arun Kumar Gupta and ors ...Petitioners

versus

Shri Derasish Panda and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-7768-CII-2022

1. Allowed as prayed for.

CM-7771-CII-2022

2. Notice of the application.

3. Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants/respondent Nos.2 and 3 and states that he has no objection to the prayer made therein.

4. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents, the application is allowed.

COCP-1106-2021

5. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the assurance held out vide communication dated 07.06.2022, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to pursue the claim for interest on account of delayed payment by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

6.
- In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.
7.
- Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.08.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No