

CRM-7786-2022 in/and
CRM-M-20404-2021
Date of Decision:04.03.2022

Sumit KumarPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)Case heard *via* video conference.**CRM-7786-2022**

Vide this application, the applicant/petitioner seeks to place on record copies of the testimonies of PWs 1 to 3 before the trial court.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the court, learned State counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions with copies of the aforesaid testimonies are ordered to be taken on record.

CRM-M-20404-2021

A perusal of the said testimonies would indeed suggest what learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted on the last date of hearing, to the effect that all the material witnesses have turned hostile, with learned State counsel also submitting the same, as per his instructions from ASI Lachman Singh.

Consequently, with 15 witnesses still left to be examined and the petitioner having been in custody for more than one year, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed, with the petitioner ordered to be admitted to bail, upon him furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

March 04, 2022

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO